







y

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,056	03/27/2001	Kai Yang	50432-067	9188	
. 5	7590 06/04/2003				
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
600 13th Stree Washington, D	t, N.W. OC 20005-3096		NGUYEN, THANH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813	15	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1100		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- COR		
Advisory Action	09/817,056	YANG ET AL.			
navioury notion	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Active event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :				
Claim(s) allowed: 6-12.					
Claim(s) objected to: Nove					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-20</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disan	proved by the Exar	miner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		•			

Carl Whitehead, LR.
SUZERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) , 09/817,056





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered because the newly added limitation "single opening" in claim 1 would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..